

Finite-temperature correlations in the trapped Bose gas

N.M. Bogoliubov*, R.K. Bullough†, V.S. Kapitonov**, C. Malyshev* and J. Timonen††

* *Steklov Institute of Mathematics at St.Petersburg, Fontanka 27, 191011 St.Petersburg, Russia*

† *Department of Mathematics, The University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 88, Manchester M60 1QD, United Kingdom*

** *St.Petersburg Technological Institute, Moskovsky 26, 196013, St.Petersburg, Russia*

†† *Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, FIN-40351 Jyväskylä, Finland*

Previous functional integral methods for translationally invariant systems have been extended to the case of a confining trap potential. Essentially all finite-temperature properties of the repulsive Bose gas in a paraboloidal trap can be determined this way. New analytical results reported here are for the finite-temperature two-point correlation functions below the critical temperatures in $d = 3, 2$ and 1 dimensions. Only for $d = 3$ are correlations both long range and coherent - at long range consistent with the existence of a coherent state of the condensate in the trap. The two-dimensional condensate is marginally stable in the sense that correlations decay by a power law.

03.75.Fi, 05.30.Jp

The observation of Bose condensation in vapours of alkali atoms [1–3] held in magnetic traps, and recently [4] in atomic hydrogen, has stimulated enormous interest, both experimentally and theoretically, in this phenomenon. The coherence properties of condensates in such traps are of exceptional interest especially perhaps because of the opportunity now presented for realisation of atom lasers [5–7]. Much of the theoretical work has been concerned with solutions of the c-number Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation in the presence of the paraboloidal potential describing the trap [8–10]. Without the trap this equation is also called the Nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation [11] which, in one space dimension ($d = 1$), can be solved exactly at both c-number and quantum level, the latter including [12,13] calculation of the finite-temperature correlation functions for the repulsive case (coupling constant $g > 0$). For $d = 1$ as well as for the higher dimensions, the finite-temperature properties of the quantum NLS equation have otherwise been extensively analysed [14] by functional integral methods. This way it is established e.g. that without any trap no long-range correlations arise for $d < 3$. But, on the other hand, for $d = 3$ correlations are both long-range and consistent with the condensate being in a coherent state [15].

Thus far it has remained an open question whether the presence of a trap potential will induce long-range order for $d < 3$ also, while, despite [5,6], coherent-state behaviour for $d = 3$ in traps had not been demonstrated theoretically until the very recent work of [16]. In [16] first and second order coherence functions are calculated from the GP equation in the form of series expansion. In this Letter we give an *ab initio* approximate calculation of the first order coherence function for $d = 3, 2$, and 1, giving explicit analytical formulae in each case. We show that, even in the presence of a paraboloidal trap, there is long-range order only for $d = 3$; and we indicate how, and only for $d = 3$, the condensate in the trap may, but only asymptotically, be approaching a coherent state. To these ends we have extended the previous [14,17] functional integral methods to the case when a trap is included. An important new aspect is then that the trap breaks translational invariance; and this introduces wholly new many-body theoretical problems. Thus, rather than using periodic boundary conditions (b.c.s), we must expect to impose vanishing b.c.s at infinity, and so to work at 'zero density' [18]. In this Letter we simply divide [19,20] the total field ψ of the system into two parts: $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1$. Here ψ_0 becomes for $T < T_c$ the condensate field and ψ_1 is that due to thermal fluctuations. Both fields are assumed to vanish at infinity in order to have them largely confined in the region of the trap. The functional integral method still provides a framework within which, in principle, all thermodynamic properties of the trapped Bose gas can be determined. So far we have obtained, e.g., expressions for T_c for each of $d = 3, 2, 1$. At mean-field level results at lowest order in the coupling constant agree with those reported [8] already, but they can be extended to higher order. However, the main result reported in this Letter is the calculation of the finite-temperature two-point correlation functions for each of $d = 3, 2, 1$. Because there is no translational invariance these correlation functions no longer depend solely on the difference of two position vectors. It can still be concluded that long-range order arises, for $T < T_c$, in $d = 3$, and the first-order coherence function asymptotically approaches unity. In $d = 2$ and for $T < T_c$ the condensate is *marginally* stable for correlations decay algebraically, namely as a power law. In $d = 1$ correlations decay exponentially for $T < T_c$, and we have not yet analysed any $T = 0$ limit. For $T > T_c$ there is a Gaussian decay in all dimensions.

Our calculational procedure is such that we first draw a correspondence between the quantum fields $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$, $\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$ for Bose particles and the c-number fields $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$, $\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$ (τ is the Wick rotated time $t \rightarrow -i\tau$, and varies between 0 and $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$). In this way we can write the finite-temperature correlation function $G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \equiv \langle \mathbf{T}_\tau \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \tau_1) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_2, \tau_2) \rangle$ (where $\mathbf{T}_\tau$ means a thermal ordering in τ) as the ratio of two functional integrals,

$$G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = Z^{-1} \int e^S \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \tau_1) \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{r}_2, \tau_2) D\psi D\bar{\psi}, \quad (1)$$

in which Z is a partition function $Z = \int e^S D\psi D\bar{\psi}$. The chosen c-number action S is

$$S = \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^d r \left\{ \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) K \psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau) - \frac{g}{2} \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \right\}. \quad (2)$$

The boundary conditions are vanishing at infinity for $\mathbf{r}$ and periodic, period β , for τ . The action S yields the quantum many-body problem at $T > 0$ for a gas with repulsive pairwise δ -function interactions of strength g in $\mathbf{R}^d$. The differential operator $K = \partial_\tau - H$, and $H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r}) - \mu$; μ is the chemical potential and $V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{m}{2} \Omega^2 \mathbf{r}^2$ is the paraboloidal trap potential, taken with spherical symmetry for simplicity.

As explained we also simplify by setting $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = \psi_o(\mathbf{r}) + \psi_1(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$ for c-number fields ψ, ψ_o, ψ_1 and likewise for $\bar{\psi}$: $\psi_o(\mathbf{r})$ will not depend on τ . In this Letter we shall only consider terms in S upto quadratic (bilinear) in $\psi_1, \bar{\psi}_1$ and can therefore explicitly integrate out the thermal fluctuations. This way we arrive at $S_{eff}[\psi_o, \bar{\psi}_o] = \ln \int e^S D\psi_1 D\bar{\psi}_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{eff}[\psi_o, \bar{\psi}_o] + \beta F_{nc}(\mu) \\ &= \beta \int d^d r \left\{ \bar{\psi}_o(\mathbf{r}) \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \mu - \tilde{V}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \psi_o(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{g}{2} \bar{\psi}_o(\mathbf{r}) \bar{\psi}_o(\mathbf{r}) \psi_o(\mathbf{r}) \psi_o(\mathbf{r}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In S_{eff} , $\tilde{V}(\mathbf{r}) = V(\mathbf{r}) + 2g\rho_{nc}(\mathbf{r})$ is a renormalised trap potential, while $\rho_{nc}(\mathbf{r})$ is the density profile of the thermal particles in the ideal gas approximation - as is consistent with terms only quadratic in $\psi_1, \bar{\psi}_1$ retained. More precisely, at this level of approximation (Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov, HFB) this density profile derives from the fundamental solution of the $d+1$ -dimensional operator K : $K\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}, \tau; \mathbf{r}', \tau') = -\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\delta(\tau - \tau')$, and the thermal Green's function $\mathcal{G}$ can be expressed in the form

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}, \tau; \mathbf{r}', \tau') = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{u_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) u_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}')}{e^{\beta E_{\mathbf{n}}} - 1} e^{E_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau - \tau')}, \tau > \tau'. \quad (4)$$

For $d = 3$ the vectors $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$, and the $u_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r})$ and $E_{\mathbf{n}}$ are the eigenfunctions and eigenenergies, respectively, of the $d = 3$ harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian H ; and similarly for $d = 2, d = 1$. Then $\rho_{nc}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{r}, \tau; \mathbf{r}, \tau)$, where prime means $\mathbf{n} = (0, 0, 0)$ is omitted. The free energy of the thermal particles $F_{nc}(\mu)$ is simply $F_{nc}(\mu) = \beta^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \ln(1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}}})$.

At this point we are already able to calculate the critical temperatures T_c . The leading *i.e.* zeroth order term is found by replacing $\rho_{nc}(\mathbf{r})$ by a constant $\rho_{nc}(0)$: this defines the renormalised chemical potential $\Lambda = \mu - 2g\rho_{nc}(0)$, and $\Lambda = 0$ determines T_c . We find this way all of the zeroth order expressions in $d = 3, 2, 1$ as given e.g. in [8]. At first order in g we can add the appropriate terms arising in S_{eff} . Beyond this we also need to include fluctuations ψ_1 to an order higher than quadratic.

The free energy F of the trapped Bose gas is calculated from $-\beta F(\mu) = \ln \int e^{S_{eff}} D\psi_0 D\bar{\psi}_0$. By steepest descents for large β (low T) we find that

$$F(\mu) = F_{nc}(\mu) - \frac{g}{2} \int d^d r |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^4. \quad (5)$$

In Eq.(5) the fields $\Phi, \bar{\Phi}$ are the quasi-classical fields satisfying the extremum condition $\delta(S_{eff}[\Phi, \bar{\Phi}]) = 0$. This condition is equivalent to the stationary GP equations

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Phi(\mathbf{r}) + (\mu - \tilde{V}(\mathbf{r})) \Phi(\mathbf{r}) - g |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2 \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad (6)$$

and the similar equation for $\bar{\Phi}$. At this quasi-classical approximation we already find through the presence of $\tilde{V}(\mathbf{r})$ the HFB corrections to the GP equation introduced earlier [8].

We turn next to the calculation of the finite-temperature correlation function Eq. (1). By integrating out the thermal fluctuations included upto terms quadratic in $\psi_1, \bar{\psi}_1$ we find that

$$G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \simeq \frac{\int e^{S_{eff}} \psi_o(\mathbf{r}_1) \bar{\psi}_o(\mathbf{r}_2) D\psi_o D\bar{\psi}_o}{\int e^{S_{eff}} D\psi_o D\bar{\psi}_o} \equiv C(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2). \quad (7)$$

At low enough temperatures these remaining functional integrals can be evaluated by steepest descents where again we work consistently at the HFB level. At this level the correlation functions can be expressed in the form

$$C(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \simeq e^{-S_{eff}[\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0] + S_{eff}[\Phi_1, \bar{\Phi}_1] + \ln \Phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1) \bar{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{r}_2)}, \quad (8)$$

and the fields $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ satisfy the two stationary GP equations exemplified by Eq. (6). Evidently the fields $\Phi_1, \bar{\Phi}_1$ are determined by $\delta(S_{eff}[\Phi_1, \bar{\Phi}_1] + \ln \Phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1) \bar{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{r}_2)) = 0$, and this variational equation also leads to a pair of GP type of equations with however additional sources from the $\ln(\Phi_1 \bar{\Phi}_1)$. This pair of equations is

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Phi_1(\mathbf{r}) - (\mu - \tilde{V}(\mathbf{r})) \Phi_1(\mathbf{r}) + g \Phi_1^2(\mathbf{r}) \bar{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_2)}{\beta \bar{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{r}_2)}, \\ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \bar{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{r}) - (\mu - \tilde{V}(\mathbf{r})) \bar{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{r}) + g \bar{\Phi}_1^2(\mathbf{r}) \Phi_1(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1)}{\beta \Phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In this Letter, for simplicity, we shall solve both these equations Eqs. (9) and the Eq. (6) only at the Thomas-Fermi (TF) approximation: this is expected to be valid at low enough temperatures [8–10]. The stationary GP equation Eq. (6) is thus reduced to a simple algebraic equation and from it we then easily find the expected inverted paraboloidal density profile which is [8,21]

$$\rho_0(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \Phi_0(\mathbf{r}) \bar{\Phi}_0(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{g} (\mu - \tilde{V}(\mathbf{r})) \Theta(\mu - \tilde{V}(\mathbf{r})), \quad (10)$$

in which Θ is the Heaviside step function. Evidently $\rho_0(\mathbf{r})$ can be interpreted as the condensate density expressed in terms of order parameters $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$. The radius of the condensate R_c can now be determined from the condition $\mu - \tilde{V}(R_c) = 0$.

The solution of Eqs. (9) is more complicated. Notice first that the fields $\Phi_1, \bar{\Phi}_1$, appearing in these equations will also be complex valued fields in general. But they are two independent fields with independent variations. We can therefore seek first of all solutions in the form $\Phi_1(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\rho(\mathbf{r})} e^{\phi(\mathbf{r})}$, $\bar{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\rho(\mathbf{r})} e^{-\phi(\mathbf{r})}$, where $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ can be complex valued, but will be found below to describe the real contribution to the correlation functions of the complex phases of the wave functions. We can furthermore assume that, away from the boundaries, $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is a slowly varying function of position $\mathbf{r}$ so that $\nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}$ and $\nabla \sqrt{\rho}$ are both small and can be neglected. This will not be true of $\rho(\nabla \phi)^2$ or $\rho \nabla^2 \phi$, so that Eqs. (9) can be taken as

$$g\rho(\mathbf{r}) - (\mu - \tilde{V}(\mathbf{r})) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\nabla \phi(\mathbf{r}))^2 = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2\beta \rho(\mathbf{r}_1)} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1) - \frac{1}{2\beta \rho(\mathbf{r}_2)} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_2). \quad (12)$$

The first of these equations Eq. (11) has the solution $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2mg} (\nabla \phi)^2$. Within the TF approximation, $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq. (12) is then $\rho_0(\mathbf{r})$. We then express the solution of this equation in terms of a function $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$: $\phi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1) - f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_2)$. The functional form of $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ depends on the dimensionality of the system. We find that

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\frac{a}{2\pi\beta\rho_0(\mathbf{r}')} \frac{1}{R} \quad (d=3) \quad (13)$$

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{a}{\pi\beta\rho_0(\mathbf{r}')} \ln R \quad (d=2) \quad (14)$$

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{a}{\beta\rho_0(\mathbf{r}')} R \quad (d=1) \quad (15)$$

with $a \equiv \frac{m}{2\hbar^2}$ and $R \equiv |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$. It is already clear that the correlation functions can no longer depend on $R = |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$ alone: they depend also on both of $\mathbf{r}_1$ and $\mathbf{r}_2$ separately, consistent with the breakdown of translational invariance induced by the trap.

We consider first the correlation function in $d=3$. In this case the points $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1$ and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_2$ in $\phi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ are singular and introduce a divergence problem [22]. This difficulty can be avoided first of all by considering a first-order 'coherence function' $G^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ which we define here (and compare e.g. [16,23]) as

$$G^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)}{\langle \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \tau_1) \rangle \langle \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_2, \tau_2) \rangle} \simeq \frac{C(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)}{\langle \psi_o(\mathbf{r}_1) \rangle \langle \psi_o(\mathbf{r}_2) \rangle}, \quad (16)$$

where C was defined at Eq. (7). For this way $G^{(1)}$ is both finite and well defined because we find identically the same singularities appear [14] in the direct calculation of the order parameters $\langle \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \tau_1) \rangle, \langle \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_2, \tau_2) \rangle$. Notice that in Eq. (16) we have already replaced $\langle \psi \rangle$, the order parameter of the trapped Bose gas by $\langle \psi_o \rangle$ since the average over thermal fluctuations vanishes: $\langle \psi_1 \rangle = 0$. Notice too that the solution of Eq. (12) is only determined within a harmonic function, and that the only harmonic function which satisfies the b.c.s. and is non-singular is a (complex) number. This constant is identically cancelled in $G^{(1)}$ but introduces an undetermined phase, as expected [24–26], to the order parameters. For $T < T_c$, when the order parameter is nonzero, we find that

$$G^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \simeq e^{-\frac{1}{2}(f(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) + f(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1))} = e^{\frac{a}{4\pi\beta\bar{\rho}_0} \frac{1}{R}}, \quad (17)$$

where $\bar{\rho}_0^{-1} \equiv \rho_0^{-1}(\mathbf{r}_1) + \rho_0^{-1}(\mathbf{r}_2)$. Evidently $G^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \rightarrow 1$ for large R , thus indicating long-range order and long-range coherence, and there are thus these features of a coherent state in this limited sense [27]. The coherence length is given by $\frac{a}{4\pi\beta\bar{\rho}_0}$ and depends on both $\mathbf{r}_1$ and $\mathbf{r}_2$ separately. Notice that we have assumed $\mathbf{r}_1$ and $\mathbf{r}_2$ are not close to the boundaries of the condensate so that always $\rho_0(\mathbf{r}) > 0$ in $\bar{\rho}_0^{-1}$.

For $d = 2$ the singularity in $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is logarithmic and the divergence is renormalizable. For $d = 1$ the function f is nonsingular. Thus we can directly evaluate the correlation functions, and find that

$$G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \simeq \sqrt{\rho_0(\mathbf{r}_1)\rho_0(\mathbf{r}_2)} e^{-\frac{a}{2\pi\beta\bar{\rho}_0} \ln R} \quad (d = 2), \quad (18)$$

$$G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \simeq \sqrt{\rho_0(\mathbf{r}_1)\rho_0(\mathbf{r}_2)} e^{-\frac{a}{2\beta\bar{\rho}_0} R} \quad (d = 1). \quad (19)$$

It is obvious that these correlation functions both vanish for large R and that there is no long-range order in $d = 2$ or in $d = 1$ nor will there be any coherence. In the case $d = 2$ the condensate is marginally stable in that correlations decay algebraically, namely by a power law. The exponent of this power-law is proportional to T so that at very low temperatures, correlations may thus prevail over almost macroscopic distances. In real magnetic traps for $d = 3$ we still expect the condensate to be stable even for extremely anisotropic trap potentials, as in e.g. the experiments [4] on atomic hydrogen. The three correlation functions Eqs. (17-19) coincide with those obtained under translational invariance without the trap to the extent that for $\Omega \rightarrow 0, V(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$, and we can expect $2g\rho_{nc}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \text{Const} = 2g\rho_{nc}$.

Thus in summary we have demonstrated that the functional integration techniques developed for translationally invariant Bose systems can be extended to Bose gases in a confining trap potential, and form also in this case of a trap a convenient framework in which to consider the thermal properties of the condensate. We have shown in particular that true long-range order only arises in $d = 3$ and that the condensate then appears asymptotically to be in the coherent state essential for atom lasers. We expect to define multi-point correlation functions similarly to Eq. (16) and find these ~ 1 for large separations of the points.

One of us, N.M.B., would like to thank the Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä for support. This work was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research. Grant 98-01-00313.

-
- [1] M.H. Anderson *et al.*, Science **269**, 198 (1995).
 - [2] C.C. Bradley *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1687 (1995).
 - [3] K.B. Davis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3969 (1995).
 - [4] D.G.Fried *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3811 (1999).
 - [5] D. Kleppner, Physics Today, August, 11 (1997).
 - [6] E.A. Burt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 337 (1997) and the other references in [5].
 - [7] I. Bloch, T.W. Hänsch and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3008 (1999)
 - [8] F. Dalfovo *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 463 (1999).
 - [9] A.L. Fetter, e-print cond-mat/9811366.
 - [10] C.J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Nordita Lect. Notes, NORDITA 1997).
 - [11] *Solitons*, Topics in Current Physics **17**, eds. R.K. Bullough and P.J. Caudrey (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1980), especially Chap. 11; L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1986).
 - [12] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov and A.G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).

- [13] N.M. Bogoliubov, R.K. Bullough and J. Timonen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3933 (1994).
- [14] V.N. Popov, *Functional Integrals in Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Physics* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1983).
- [15] A. Imamoglu, M. Lewenstein, and L. You, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2511 (1997)
- [16] M. Naraschewski and R.J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 4595 (1999)
- [17] V.N. Popov, Journ. Sov. Math. **46**, 1619 (1989).
- [18] R.K. Bullough and J. Timonen, in *Stat. Mech. and Field Theory*, eds. V. Bazhanov and C. Burden (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995), pp. 336-414.
- [19] A.L. Fetter, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **70**, 67 (1992).
- [20] L.D.Faddeev and V.N. Popov, Sov. Phys. ZhETP **20**, 890 (1965).
- [21] L.V. Hau *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **58**, R54 (1998).
- [22] This divergence is well known in electrostatics and in field theory as discussed e.g. in [14].
- [23] R. Loudon, *Quantum Theory of Light* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1983).
- [24] J.A. Dunningham and K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 3729 (1999).
- [25] K. Mølmer, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 3195 (1997).
- [26] A.J. Leggett, in *Bose-Einstein Condensation*, eds. A. Griffin, D.W. Snoke, and S. Stringari (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1995).
- [27] The *averaged* R^{-1} fall-off to the condensate plateau implicit in Eq. (17) is observed by T. Esslinger and the Munich group (private communication).